UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
	X
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	•
Plaintiff,	•
-V-	: : No. 16-cv-6848 (DLI)(VMS)
PLATINUM MANAGEMENT (NY) LLC;	DECLARATION OF DANIEL M.
PLATINUM CREDIT MANAGEMENT, L.P.;	BURSTEIN IN SUPPORT OFCONSENTED-TO MOTION TO
MARK NORDLICHT;	: LIFT THE LITIGATION STAY IN
DAVID LEVY;	: PLATINUM PARTNERS VALUE : ARBITRAGE FUND, LP, AND
DANIEL SMALL;	: PLATINUM PARTNERS LIQUID
URI LANDESMAN;	: OPPORTUNITY MASTER FUND
JOSEPH MANN;	: LP V. CHICAGO BOARD OPTIONS : EXCHANGE AND OPTIONS
JOSEPH SANFILIPPO; and	: CLEARING CORPORATION, CH.
JEFFREY SHULSE,	: DIV. CASE NO. 10CH54472 (ILL : CIR CT., COOK CTY.)
Defendants.	:
	X

- I, Daniel M. Burstein, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, declare as follows:
- 1. I am a Senior Managing Director of Guidepost Solutions LLC ("Guidepost"), and as such work with Bart M. Schwartz, the court-appointed Receiver in this case. I am over 18 years old and a member of the bar of this Court. The Receiver will soon be making an application to retain Guidepost to assist it in this matter.
- 2. Under ¶ 25 of the order appointing the Receiver (Docket No. 6) (the "Receiver Order") litigation of all Ancillary Proceedings¹ is stayed until further order of this Court.
- 3. Platinum Partners Value Arbitrage Fund, LP and Platinum Partners Liquid Opportunity Master Fund LP v. Chicago Board Options Exchange and Options Clearing Corporation, Ch. Div. Case No. 10CH54472 (Ill. Cir. Ct., Cook Cty.) is an Ancillary Proceeding

¹ All undefined terms have the same meaning as in the Receivership Order.

because it involves Receivership Property. The case was filed in the Circuit Court of Cook

County, Illinois Country Department, Chancery Division, and is assigned Case No. 10CH54472.

4. In this action, two Platinum entities—Platinum Partners Value Arbitrage Fund,

LP and Platinum Partners Liquid Opportunity Master Fund LP—have brought suit against the

Chicago Board Options Exchange and Options Clearing Corporation for violations of the Illinois

Securities Law and the Illinois Consumer Fraud Act and for common law fraud. The case arises

out of the defendants' private and premature disclosures in December 2010 that the strike price

of India Fund options would be adjusted before that adjustment was publicly announced the

afternoon of December 20, 2010. Plaintiffs were purchasing India Fund options during this

period and were damaged by the defendants' actions. The Receiver has determined that it is in

the best interests of the Receivership Estate for the stay of litigation in that case to be lifted so

that the case against the defendants may be pursued.

5. I am informed by the Receiver's proposed counsel, Celia Goldwag Barenholtz,

that the SEC consents to this motion.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the

foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on January 1, 2017

New York, New York

Daniel M. Burstein

2